## Dimension-Free Parameterized Approximation Schemes for Hybrid Clustering

### Ameet Gadekar

CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security Saarbrücken, Germany

07.03.2025

### Tanmay Inamdar

Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur Jodhpur, India

**STACS 2025** 

Jena, Germany

# Clustering

#### Given a set of objects

Want to group them such that

objects in the same group are more the other groups

#### objects in the same group are more "similar" to each other than to those in



# Clustering

#### Given a set of objects

#### Want to group them such that

objects in the same group are more the other groups

### objects in the same group are more "similar" to each other than to those in



# Clustering

#### Given a set of objects

#### Want to group them such that

objects in the same group are more the other groups

### objects in the same group are more "similar" to each other than to those in



Groups are called Clusters

Set of Objects — Points/Clients

Set of potential centers — Facilities

Want to choose centers and assign every point to a closest center

to minimize a clustering objective



Set of Objects — Points/Clients

Set of potential centers — Facilities

Want to choose centers and assign every point to a closest center

to minimize a clustering objective



Set of Objects — Points/Clients

Set of potential centers — Facilities

Want to choose centers and assign every point to a closest center

to minimize a clustering objective







- Input
  - *P*: set of *n* points
  - F: set of facilities
  - **d**: distance function on  $P \cup F$
  - k: positive integer

### • Output

 $X \subseteq F$ : set of k centers

• Minimize an objective

k-	M	ed	lia	n:

$$\sum_{p\in P} d(p,X)$$

*k*-Center:

 $\max_{p \in P} d(p, X)$ 

k-Means:

$$\sum_{p \in P} d(p, X)^2$$

- Interpolates between k-Median and k-Center
- Think of placing k WiFi routers, each with coverage radius r
- Clients within coverage, pay 0 (zero)
- Clients outside coverage, pay the distance to the nearest ball





- Interpolates between k-Median and k-Center
- Think of placing k WiFi routers, each with coverage radius r
- Clients within coverage, pay 0 (zero)
- Clients outside coverage, pay the distance to the nearest ball





for  $X \subseteq F$ 

- $d_r(p, X) := max\{d(p, X) r, 0\}$  *r*-distance
- Input
  - *P*: set of *n* points
  - *F*: set of facilities
  - k: positive integer
  - **d**: distance function on  $P \cup F$
  - r: non-negative real

- Output  $X \subseteq F$ : set of k centers
- Minimize

 $\sum_{p\in P} d_r(p,X)$ 

### **Motivation**

- Interpolates between k-Median and k-Center
- Shape Fitting
  - Extension of Linear regression: Fitting "best" lines
  - Projective Clustering: Fitting "best" affine spaces





### **Motivation**

- Interpolates between k-Median and k-Center
- Shape Fitting
  - Extension of Linear regression: Fitting "best" lines
  - Projective Clustering: Fitting "best" affine spaces
  - Hybrid Clustering: Fitting "best" r-radius balls



### **Motivation**

- Interpolates between k-Median and k-Center
- Shape Fitting
  - Extension of Linear regression: Fitting "best" lines
  - Projective Clustering: Fitting "best" affine spaces
  - Hybrid Clustering: Fitting "best" *r*-radius balls



## Literature

- Recently introduced by Fomin, Golovach, Inamdar, Saurabh, Zehavi [Approx' 24]
- $r = 0: d_r(p, X) = d(p, X) \Longrightarrow k$ -Median
- $r = OPT_{kc}: \sum d_r(p, X) = 0 \Longrightarrow k$ -Center  $OPT_{kc} = k$ -Center OPT
- No Uni-criteria approximations:

have to violate both—cost & radius

Hybrid k-Clustering: Blending k-Median and k-Center



## Literature

- Recently introduced by Fomin, Golovach, Inamdar, Saurabh, Zehavi [Approx' 24]

No Uni-criteria approximations: have to violate both—cost & radius

## Literature

- Recently introduced by Fomin, Golovach, Inamdar, Saurabh, Zehavi [Approx' 24]
- No Uni-criteria approximations:
- Studied the problem in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where centers can be chosen anywhere
- For  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , designed  $(1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ -bicritera approximation OPT cost using r-radius balls • whose cost using  $(1 + \epsilon)r$ -radius balls is at most  $(1 + \epsilon)OPT_r$ 

  - in time  $FPT(k, d, \epsilon)$

have to violate both—cost & radius



### Substantially improve and generalize the results of Fomin at al.

## Our Results



Fedor et al [Approx'24].



### For $\mathbb{R}^d$ , design $(1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ -bicritera approximation in time $2^{(kd/\epsilon)^{O(1)}} n^{O(1)}$



Fedor et al [Approx'24].



### For $\mathbb{R}^d$ , design $(1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ -bicritera approximation in time $2^{(kd/\epsilon)^{O(1)}} n^{O(1)}$



Works for metric spaces with bounded (algorithmic) scatter dimension

Bounded Doubling

Bounded Treewidth

Works even when the objective is a monotone norm of r-distances

\* Parameterized Approximation Schemes for Clustering with General Norm Objectives Abbasi, Banerjee, Byrka, Chalermsook, G., Khodamoradi, Marx, Sharma, Spoerhase



#### Generalizes the FOCS'23 framework of Abbasi\* et al. to r-distances

Generalizes the FOCS'23 framework of Abbasi\* et al. to r-distances

#### Theorem 2.

\* Parameterized Approximation Schemes for Clustering with General Norm Objectives Abbasi, Banerjee, Byrka, Chalermsook, G., Khodamoradi, Marx, Sharma, Spoerhase



### Design coresets of size $2^{O(d\log(1/\epsilon))}k\log n$ in doubling metrics of dimension d





### For $\mathbb{R}^d$ , design $(1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ -bicritera approximation in time $FPT(k, \epsilon)$

## This talk



- Idea based on EPAS framework of Abbasi et al. [FOCS'23],
  - $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation running in time  $FPT(k, \epsilon)$
  - for many clustering problems
  - under any metric space that has bounded (algorithmic) scatter dimension
  - in a unified manner

## This talk

For  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , design  $(1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ -bicritera approximation in time  $FPT(k, \epsilon)$ 

**Unified-EPAS** 

**EPAS: Efficient Parameterized Approximation Schemes** FPT-AS



# **Unified-EPAS: Basic Idea**

Consider the clustering corresponding to an optimal solution 0

For each cluster  $j \in [k]$ , we maintain a cluster constraint  $Q_j$ 

Each  $Q_i$  is a sequence of pairs  $(p, r_p)$ , where  $p \in Cluster j$  and  $r_p \leq d(p, 0)$ 





# **Unified-EPAS: Basic Idea**

Consider the clustering corresponding to an optimal solution O

For each cluster  $j \in [k]$ , we maintain a cluster constraint  $Q_j$ 

Each  $Q_i$  is a sequence of pairs  $(p, r_p)$ , where  $p \in Cluster j$  and  $r_p \leq d(p, 0)$ 





# **Unified-EPAS: Basic Idea**

- Consider the clustering corresponding to an optimal solution 0
- For each cluster  $j \in [k]$ , we maintain a cluster constraint  $Q_j$
- *0*<sub>3</sub> *0*<sub>2</sub>
- Each  $Q_j$  is a sequence of pairs  $(p, r_p)$ , where  $p \in Cluster j$  and  $r_p \leq d(p, 0)$ Find  $X = (x_1, ..., x_k)$  such that  $x_i$  satisfies all requests in  $Q_i$





## Unified-EPAS





## Unified-EPAS

Initialization




























### Lemma 1

If  $cost(X) > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot OPT$ , then we can find a witness to X w.h.p.

### **Question:**

Bound #iterations?

# Lemma 1

If  $cost(X) > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot OPT$ , then we can find a witness to X w.h.p.

### **Question:**

Bound #iterations?

 $\epsilon$ -scatter dimension

Upper Bounds





### Bound #iterations?

 $\epsilon$ -scatter dimension















## Unified-EPAS

### Lemma 1

If  $cost(X) > (1 + \epsilon) \cdot OPT$ , then we can find a witness to X w.h.p.





 $g(k,\epsilon)$ 

Radii aspect ratio of requests in every  $Q_i$  is bounded







- Computing Upper bounds fails!
- Sampling lemma (Lemma 1) does not work!
- Radii Aspect Ratio lemma (Lemma 2) fails!
- be feasible!



- Computing Upper bounds fails!
- Sampling lemma (Lemma 1) does not work!
- Radii Aspect Ratio lemma (Lemma 2) fails!
- be feasible!



- Computing Upper bounds fails!
- Sampling lemma (Lemma 1) does not work!
- Radii Aspect Ratio lemma (Lemma 2) fails!
- be feasible!



- Computing Upper bounds fails!
- Sampling lemma (Lemma 1) does not work!
- Radii Aspect Ratio lemma (Lemma 2) fails!
- be feasible!



### Unified-EPAS







### $d_r(p, X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p, 0)$





### $d_r(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$



$$T(r) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p, 0)$$

think when  $d(p, X) \approx r$ 

















### Witness: $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$

 $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality  $\implies$  FOCS'23 sampling fails

### Witness: $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$

- $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r = \Omega(r/\epsilon)$

Witness:  $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$ 



- $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r = \Omega(r/\epsilon)$



Witness:  $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$ 

 $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r = \Omega(r/\epsilon)$ 





Witness:  $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$ 



- $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r = \Omega(r/\epsilon)$



Witness:  $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$ 

 $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r \doteq \Omega(r/\epsilon)$ 







Witness:  $d_{(1+\epsilon)r}(p,X) > (1 + \epsilon/10) \cdot d_r(p,0)$ Far away witnesses  $d_r$  does not satisfy triangle inequality. But,  $d_r \approx d$  when  $d_r \doteq \Omega(r/\epsilon)$ 




## Our Algorithm



## Summary

Showed a bi-criteria EPAS for Hybrid Clustering

Metric spaces with bounded scatter dimension

Norm objective of r-distances

Generalize FOCS'23 EPAS framework for *r*-distances

Designed coresets for Hybrid Clustering in doubling dimensions



Thank You!

-	-