# Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set Parameterized by Vertex Cover

- @STACS
- March 05, 2025
- Prafullkumar Tale
  - Joint work with
- Florent Foucaud, Esther Galby, Liana Khazaliya, Shaohua Li, Fionn Mc Inerney, Roohani Sharma



## Catch the Spy



## Catch the Spy - Communication network of agents



# Catch the Spy Communication network of agents Drop a message at a node Ex. green at v<sub>12</sub>



















Catch the Spy - Communication network of agents - Drop a message at a node Ex. green at  $v_{12}$ - Each agent conveys the message to all of its neighbours in the next time step - [Time at which the message is received for the first time]



Catch the Spy - Communication network of agents - Drop a message at a node Ex. green at  $v_{12}$ - Each agent conveys the message to all of its neighbours in the next time step - [Time at which the message is received for the first time] - Catch the message out of network



Catch the Spy - Communication network of agents - Drop a message at a node Ex. green at  $v_{12}$ - Each agent conveys the message to all of its neighbours in the next time step - [Time at which the message is received for the first time]

- Catch the message out of network  $[0]: \{v_{12}\} [1]: \{v_{10}\} [2]: \{v_6, v_{11}\}$  $[3]: \{v_5, v_7\} \ [4]: \{v_4, v_8, v_9\} \ [5]: \{v_6, v_{11}\} \ [6]: \{v_1\}$ 



Catch the Spy - Communication network of agents - Drop a message at a node Ex. green at  $v_{12}$ - Each agent conveys the message to all of its neighbours in the next time step - [Time at which the message is received for the first time]

- Catch the message out of network  $[0]: \{v_{12}\} [1]: \{v_{10}\} [2]: \{v_6, v_{11}\}$  $[3]: \{v_5, v_7\} \ [4]: \{v_4, v_8, v_9\} \ [5]: \{v_6, v_{11}\} \ [6]: \{v_1\}$ 

Q: Minimum nr of messages to catch the spy?



Catch the Spy – Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ [0] : { $v_{12}$ } [1] : { $v_{10}$ } [2] : { $v_6$ ,  $v_{11}$ } [3] : { $v_5$ ,  $v_7$ } [4] : { $v_4$ ,  $v_8$ ,  $v_9$ } [5] : { $v_6$ ,  $v_{11}$ } [6] : { $v_1$ }





Catch the Spy – Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ [0] : { $v_{12}$ } [1] : { $v_{10}$ } [2] : { $v_6$ ,  $v_{11}$ } [3] : { $v_5$ ,  $v_7$ } [4] : { $v_4$ ,  $v_8$ ,  $v_9$ } [5] : { $v_6$ ,  $v_{11}$ } [6] : { $v_1$ }

## - Drop message red at $v_8$

# [6][2] $v_4[4]$ [4][0 $v_8$ $v_{6}[2]$ $v_{9[4]}$ $v_{12}[0][4]$



Catch the Spy - Drop message green at  $v_{12}$  $[0]: \{v_{12}\} [1]: \{v_{10}\} [2]: \{v_6, v_{11}\}$  $[3]: \{v_5, v_7\} [4]: \{v_4, v_8, v_9\} [5]: \{v_6, v_{11}\} [6]: \{v_1\}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$  $[0]: \{v_8\} \ [1]: \{v_2, v_7, v_9\}$  $[2]: \{v_1, v_4, v_5, v_6\} [3]: \{v_3, v_{10}\} [4]: \{v_{11}, v_{12}\}$ 



Catch the Spy - Drop message green at  $v_{12}$  $[0]: \{v_{12}\} [1]: \{v_{10}\} [2]: \{v_6, v_{11}\}$  $[3]: \{v_5, v_7\} [4]: \{v_4, v_8, v_9\} [5]: \{v_6, v_{11}\} [6]: \{v_1\}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$  $[0]: \{v_8\} [1]: \{v_2, v_7, v_9\}$  $[2]: \{v_1, v_4, v_5, v_6\} [3]: \{v_3, v_{10}\} [4]: \{v_{11}, v_{12}\}$ 

- Any (valid) combination is unique.  $[2][2] - v_6, [4][2] - v_4, [4][1] - v_9$ 



Catch the Spy – Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$ - Any (valid) combination is unique.  $[2][2] - v_6, [4][2] - v_4, [4][1] - v_9$ 





Catch the Spy - Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$ - Any (valid) combination is unique.  $[2][2] - v_6, [4][2] - v_4, [4][1] - v_9$ -  $\{v_{12}, v_8\}$  is called a resolving set.



Catch the Spy - Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$ - Any (valid) combination is unique.  $[2][2] - v_6, [4][2] - v_4, [4][1] - v_9$ -  $\{v_{12}, v_8\}$  is called a resolving set. - A resolving set is an ordered set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, ...\} \subseteq V(G)$  s.t. any  $u \neq v \in V(G)$ ,  $\langle d(u, s_1); d(u, s_2); ... \rangle \neq \langle d(v, s_1); d(v, s_2); ... \rangle$ 



Catch the Spy - Drop message green at  $v_{12}$ - Drop message red at  $v_8$ - Any (valid) combination is unique.  $[2][2] - v_6, [4][2] - v_4, [4][1] - v_9$ -  $\{v_{12}, v_8\}$  is called a resolving set. - A resolving set is an ordered set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, ...\} \subseteq V(G)$  s.t. any  $u \neq v \in V(G)$ ,  $\langle d(u, s_1); d(u, s_2); ... \rangle \neq \langle d(v, s_1); d(v, s_2); ... \rangle$  $-d(u, s_i) =$  shortest distance from u to  $s_i$ 









**Metric Dimension** Input: Graph G, int k Output: Does there exist a resolving set of size at most k?



**Metric Dimension** Input: Graph G, int k Output: Does there exist a resolving set of size at most k?

- Solution at one part of the graph, plays important role in other part of the graph. Ex.  $v_{12}$  resolves  $v_1, v_2$ .



**Metric Dimension** Input: Graph G, int k Output: Does there exist a resolving set of size at most k?

- Solution at one part of the graph, plays important role in other part of the graph. Ex.  $v_{12}$  resolves  $v_1, v_2$ .

- This phenomenon makes the problem hard,



**Metric Dimension** Input: Graph G, int k Output: Does there exist a resolving set of size at most k?

- Solution at one part of the graph, plays important role in other part of the graph. Ex.  $v_{12}$  resolves  $v_1, v_2$ .

- This phenomenon makes the problem hard,

- but also makes it ideal to obtain exotic lower bounds.



bounded diameter graphs - admits  $2^{2^{o(tW)}} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ -time algo, but - does not admit  $2^{2^{o(tW)}} \cdot n^{O(1)}$  algo unless the ETH fails.



First NP-Complete problems to admit such lower bounds



First NP-Complete problems to admit such lower bounds

- Earlier known results were  $\Sigma_2^p$ -complete or  $\Pi_2^p$ -complete.



First NP-Complete problems to admit such lower bounds

How far can we push this result?

- Earlier known results were  $\Sigma_2^p$ -complete or  $\Pi_2^p$ -complete.



First NP-Complete problems to admit such lower bounds

How far can we push this result?

Holds for feedback vertex set. pathwidth. and treedepth.

- Earlier known results were  $\Sigma_2^p$ -complete or  $\Pi_2^p$ -complete.



First NP-Complete problems to admit such lower bounds

How far can we push this result?

Holds for feedback vertex set, pathwidth, and treedepth.

How about vertex cover?

- Earlier known results were  $\Sigma_2^p$ -complete or  $\Pi_2^p$ -complete.




## Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit $2^{(VC)^2} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ -time algo, but - do not admit $2^{\mathcal{O}(VC^2)} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.



Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit  $2^{(VC)^2} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ -time algo, but - do not admit  $2^{\mathcal{O}(VC^2)} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  algo unless the ETH fails.

Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices, but

- do not admit a kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices unless the ETH fails.



Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit  $2^{(VC)^2} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ -time algo, but - do not admit  $2^{\mathcal{O}(VC^2)} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  algo unless the ETH fails.

Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices, but - do not admit a kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices unless the ETH fails.

(Split Contraction [ALSZ, STACS 2017])



## Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit $2^{(VC)^2} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ -time algo, but - do not admit $2^{\mathcal{O}(VC^2)} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.

Thm. The Metric Dimension and Geodetic Set problems - admit kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices, but

> (Edge Clique Cover [CPP, SODA'13], Biclique Cover [CIK, IPEC'16], Strong Met–Dim [FGKLIST, ICALP'24])

(Split Contraction [ALSZ, STACS 2017])

- do not admit a kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices unless the ETH fails.













Solution at one part of the graph, plays important role in other part of the graph. Ex.  $v_{12}$  and  $v_1$  covers a lot of vertices.







## Obs: If $N(y_1) = N(y_2) = N(y_3)$ for $y_1, y_2, y_3$ in ind-set, then any resolving set contains at least two of them.



## Obs: If $N(y_1) = N(y_2) = N(y_3)$ for $y_1, y_2, y_3$ in ind-set, then any resolving set contains at least two of them.

## $\Rightarrow$ kernel with $2^{O(VC)}$ vertices



Obs: If  $N(y_1) = N(y_2) = N(y_3)$  for  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  in ind-set, then any resolving set contains at least two of them.

 $\Rightarrow$  kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices

Obs: Forced vertices + vertex cover S is a resolving set.



Obs: If  $N(y_1) = N(y_2) = N(y_3)$  for  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  in ind-set, then any resolving set contains at least two of them.

 $\Rightarrow$  kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices

Obs: Forced vertices + vertex cover S is a resolving set.

⇒ `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc



Obs: If  $N(y_1) = N(y_2) = N(y_3)$  for  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  in ind-set, then any resolving set contains at least two of them.

 $\Rightarrow$  kernel with  $2^{O(VC)}$  vertices

Obs: Forced vertices + vertex cover S is a resolving set.

⇒ `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc

 $\Rightarrow$  algorithm with desired running time



## **3–SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc = $O(\sqrt{n})$

## **3-SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc = $O(\sqrt{n})$ • • • • *n* var





![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

Q: How to resolve following pairs?  $\{C_1, C_2\} \{C'_1, C_2\} \dots$ 

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

Q: How to resolve following pairs?  $\{C_1, C_2\} \{C'_1, C_2\} \dots$ 

Q: How to control the vertex cover? i.e. limit the interactions

![](_page_63_Figure_4.jpeg)

Q: How to resolve following pairs?  $\{C_1, C_2\} \{C'_1, C_2\} \dots$ 

Using bit encoding which cost log(set-size) extra vertices

Q: How to control the vertex cover? i.e. limit the interactions

![](_page_64_Figure_5.jpeg)

Q: How to resolve following pairs?  $\{C_1, C_2\} \{C'_1, C_2\} \dots$ 

Using bit encoding which cost log(set-size) extra vertices

Q: How to control the vertex cover? i.e. limit the interactions

**Sperner Family** 

![](_page_65_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **3–SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc = $O(\sqrt{n})$

## **3–SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc = $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ Sperner Family: Collection $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of a universe such that for any two sets $A_1, A_2$ in $\mathcal{F}$ neither $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ nor $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ .

3-SAT (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc =  $O(\sqrt{n})$ Sperner Family: Collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a universe such that for any two sets  $A_1, A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  neither  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  nor  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ . i.e.  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{A_1\}$ 

3–SAT (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc =  $O(\sqrt{n})$ Sperner Family: Collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a universe such that for any two sets  $A_1, A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  neither  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  nor  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ . i.e.  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{A_1\}$ Ex: Universe:  $\{1,2,\ldots,2p\}$  for an integer p and  $\mathcal{F}$  — each set contains exactly p elements.

3–SAT (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc =  $O(\sqrt{n})$ Sperner Family: Collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a universe such that for any two sets  $A_1, A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  neither  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  nor  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ . i.e.  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{A_1\}$ Ex: Universe:  $\{1,2,\ldots,2p\}$  for an integer p and  $\mathcal{F}$  — each set contains exactly p elements. For p = 3,  $A_1 = \{1,3,5\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{1,3,6\}$  are sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ 

**3–SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc =  $O(\sqrt{n})$ for any two sets  $A_1, A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  neither  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  nor  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ . i.e.  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{A_1\}$ Ex: Universe:  $\{1,2,\ldots,2p\}$  for an integer p and  $\mathcal{F}$  — each set contains exactly p elements. For p = 3,  $A_1 = \{1,3,5\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{1,3,6\}$  are sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ 

For universe of size  $\mathcal{O}(p)$ , we get  $\mathcal{F}$  of size  $2^{\mathcal{O}(p)}$ 

- Sperner Family: Collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a universe such that
**3–SAT** (with n var) to Metric Dimension with vc =  $O(\sqrt{n})$ for any two sets  $A_1, A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  neither  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  nor  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ . i.e.  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{A_1\}$ Ex: Universe:  $\{1,2,\ldots,2p\}$  for an integer p and  $\mathcal{F}$  — each set contains exactly p elements. For p = 3,  $A_1 = \{1,3,5\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{1,3,6\}$  are sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ 

For universe of size  $\mathcal{O}(p)$ , we get  $\mathcal{F}$  of size  $2^{\mathcal{O}(p)}$ 

- Sperner Family: Collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a universe such that
- For  $p = O(\sqrt{n})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is of size  $2^{O(\sqrt{n})}$ , i.e. unique set for each asst.





**Replace direct connection via** universe of Sperner Family Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 



**Replace direct connection via** universe of Sperner Family Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 



Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

 $C_1$   $C_2$  are at dist two from any asst



Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

 $C_1$   $C_2$  are at dist two from any asst



Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

 $C_1 C_2$  are at dist two from any asst

If  $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect  $C'_1$  to  $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ 



Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

 $C_1 C_2$  are at dist two from any asst

If  $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect  $C'_1$  to  $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ 



# **Replace direct connection via** universe of Sperner Family Asst $a_1$ connected to $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ $C_1 C_2$ are at dist two from any asst If $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect $C'_1$ to $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ If $a_1 \not\models C_2$ and $a_2 \not\models C_2$ , connect $C'_2$ to $\bar{A_2}$ for some $A_2 \neq A_1$



# Replace direct connection via universe of Sperner Family Asst $a_1$ connected to $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$ $C_1 C_2$ are at dist two from any asst If $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect $C'_1$ to $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ If $a_1 \not\models C_2$ and $a_2 \not\models C_2$ , connect $C'_2$ to $\bar{A_2}$ for some $A_2 \neq A_1$



Replace direct connection via universe of Sperner Family Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$  $C_1$   $C_2$  are at dist two from any asst If  $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect  $C'_1$  to  $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ If  $a_1 \not\models C_2$  and  $a_2 \not\models C_2$ , connect  $C'_2$ to  $\bar{A_2}$  for some  $A_2 \neq A_1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  dist( $a_1, C'$ ) = 3 iff  $a_1 \models C$ 



Replace direct connection via universe of Sperner Family Asst  $a_1$  connected to  $A_1 = \{1, 3, 5\}$  $C_1$   $C_2$  are at dist two from any asst If  $a_1 \models C_1$ , connect  $C'_1$  to  $\bar{A}_1 = \{2,4,6\}$ If  $a_1 \not\models C_2$  and  $a_2 \not\models C_2$ , connect  $C'_2$ to  $\bar{A_2}$  for some  $A_2 \neq A_1$  $\Rightarrow$  dist( $a_1, C'$ ) = 3 iff  $a_1 \models C$  $\Rightarrow$  vc(*G*) =  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ 





## Thm. Metric Dimension do not admit $2^{o(VC^2)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.

Recall that `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc



# Thm. Metric Dimension do not admit $2^{o(VC^2)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.

Recall that `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc

Hence, kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices will imply  $2^{o(VC^2)}$  algo.

## resolving set is at most vc ces will imply $2^{o(VC^2)}$ algo.



# Thm. Metric Dimension do not admit $2^{o(VC^2)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.

Recall that `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc

Hence, kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices will imply  $2^{o(VC^2)}$  algo.

Thm. The Metric Dimension do not admit a kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices unless the ETH fails.





## Thm. Metric Dimension do not admit $2^{o(VC^2)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ algo unless the ETH fails.

Recall that `free vertices' is a resolving set is at most vc

Hence, kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$  vertices will imply  $2^{o(VC^2)}$  algo.

Thm. The Metric Dimension do not admit a kernel with  $2^{o(VC)}$ vertices unless the ETH fails.

Any other (metric graph) NP-Complete problems that admit such lower bounds?



# Thank you

