#### **Two-Dimensional Longest Common Extension Queries in Compact Space**

Arnab Ganguly Daniel Gibney Rahul Shah <u>Sharma V. Thankachan</u>

42nd International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2025)

Index a text T[1...n] over an alphabet  $[\sigma]$  to support the pattern matching queries

Query: Pattern P[1...m]Output: Set of occurrences of P in T

Index a text T[1...n] over an alphabet  $[\sigma]$  to support the pattern matching queries

```
Query: Pattern P[1...m]
Output: Set of occurrences of P in T
```



Set of occurrences of P = CAT in the above text is  $\{3,8\}$ 

Index a text T[1...n] over an alphabet  $[\sigma]$  to support the pattern matching queries

```
Query: Pattern P[1...m]
Output: Set of occurrences of P in T
```



Set of occurrences of P = CAT in the above text is  $\{3,8\}$ 

ALGORITHMS Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) FFT based algorithm Rabin Karp etc

Time is at least O(n), i.e., linear in text length

Index a text T[1...n] over an alphabet  $[\sigma]$  to support the pattern matching queries

```
Query: Pattern P[1...m]
Output: Set of occurrences of P in T
```

*T*[1...*n*]

2 5 6 7 10 12 9 11 4 G С С Α Α т Т С G Α Т

Set of occurrences of P = CAT in the above text is  $\{3,8\}$ 

ALGORITHMS Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) FFT based algorithm Rabin Karp etc

Time is at least O(n), i.e., linear in text length

DATA STRUCTURES (Indexes)

Suffix Trees Suffix Arrays Their compressed versions (FM-index, CSA, r-index, etc)

Query time is linear in "m"and output size

# Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays (text = banana\$)



# Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays (text = banana\$)





LCE(2,4) = 3LCE(3,5) = 2LCE(2,5) = 0

Longest Common Extension LCE(i, j) is length of the longest common prefix of suffixes starting at i and j

Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays

**Good news: O(1) time for all 3 operations** suffix array inverse suffix array **LCE operation Bad news:**  $O(n \log n)$  bits space, while data needs only  $n \log \sigma$  bits

#### Suffix Trees and Suffix Arrays

Good news: O(1) time for all 3 operations suffix array inverse suffix array LCE operation Bad news:  $O(n \log n)$  bits space, while data needs only  $n \log \sigma$  bits

Can we encode suffix arrays/trees in space close to text's space  $\sim n \log \sigma$  bits?

Can we encode suffix arrays/trees in space close to text's space  $\sim n \log \sigma$  bits?

## YES !!! ... (1D case) is well solved...

Compressed Suffix Arrays (CSA) [Grossi and Vitter, 2000] FM-index [Ferragina and Manzini, 2000] Compressed Suffix Trees [Sadakane, SODA 2004]

....

. . . .

r-index [Gagie, Prezza, Navarro, SODA 2018] Suffix array in delta-compressed space [Kempa Kociumaka, FOCS 2023]

Index a 2D text for matching SQUARE patterns

T	0	1	2	3	4
0	a	a	b	b	\$
1	a	b	b	с	\$
2	b	b	a	a	\$
3	b	c	a	b	\$
4	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

#### Index a 2D text for matching SQUARE patterns



Define L-suffixes L(2,1) = b aac ab

If an mxm square pattern occurs at a positions, the its L-suffix is a prefix of the (corresponding) L-suffix of the text

#### Index a 2D text for matching SQUARE patterns



Define L-suffixes L(2,1) = b aac ab\$\$...

If an mxm square pattern occurs at a positions, the its L-suffix is a prefix of the (corresponding) L-suffix of the text

Suffix Tree: Compacted Trie over L-suffixes

 $O(N \log N)$  bits space ( $N = n \times n$ ; matrix size) O(1) for all 3 operations (SA/ISA/LCE) Efficient (square) pattern matching

LCE((i,j), (i',j')) = m if mxm is the largest square matrix matching ...

#### **Space Efficient Encoding for 2D strings?**

#### $N \log \sigma$ or even any $o(N \log N)$ bits?



#### **Space Efficient Index for 2D strings**



Theorem:  $O(N \log \sigma)$ -bit index for LCE in ~  $O(\log^{2/3} n)$  time

LCE((0,2)&(2,0)) = 2

LCE((0,0)&(2,2)) = 2

LCE((1,2)&(2,0)) =1

#### **Space Efficient Index for 2D strings**



Theorem:  $O(N \log \sigma)$ -bit index for LCE in ~  $O(\log^{2/3} n)$  time

LCE((0,2)&(2,0)) = 2

LCE((0,0)&(2,2)) = 2

LCE((1,2)&(2,0)) =1

Corollaries  $O(N \log \sigma + N \log \log N)$ bits index for

ISA queries via O(log n)\* LCE queries Sub-linear time SA queries  $O(N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ Pattern Matching  $O(M + occ + N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ 

# 2D LCE

Give two positions in the matrix, find the largest common square sub-matrix LxL whose top-left-corner align to those positions

Linearize the 2D text into 1D text(s)
 Reduce the 2D-LCE query into logarithmic number of 1D-LCE's

- 1D LCE can be answered in O(1) time using an  $O(n \log \sigma)$  bit structure
- 1D LCE can be answered in O(t) time using O(n/t) words [& text in read only], t is any parameter.
- Difference Cover .....

T'	0	1	2	3	4	
0	a	a	b	b	\$	
1	a	b	b	с	\$	
<b>2</b>	b	b	a	a	\$	
3	b	c	a	b	\$	
4	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	

Concatenate all ROWS into a single 1D text, make a compact space (1D) LCE structure over it

Similarly, concatenate all COLUMNS into a single 1D text, make a compact space (1D) LCE structure over it

Maintain 1D LCE structure with space  $O(n \log \sigma)$  bits and time O(1)

Given two positions (a,b) and (c,d), initialize i = a, j = b, k = c, l = d

Compute LCE of R\_i[j...] & R\_k[l...] and LCE of C\_j[i..]&C\_l[k..]

• increment all 4 values are repeat (keep guessing L until we get it)

4

6

Given two positions (a,b) and (c,d), initialize i = a, j = b, k = c, l = d

Compute LCE of R\_i[j...] & R\_k[l...] and LCE of C\_j[i..]&C\_l[k..]

• increment all 4 values are repeat (keep guessing L until we get it)



Given two positions (a,b) and (c,d), initialize i = a, j = b, k = c, l = d

Compute LCE of R\_i[j...] & R\_k[l...] and LCE of C\_j[i..]&C\_l[k..]

• increment all 4 values are repeat (keep guessing L until we get it)



Given two positions (a,b) and (c,d), initialize i = a, j = b, k = c, l = d

Compute LCE of R\_i[j...] & R\_k[l...] and LCE of C\_j[i..]&C\_l[k..]

• increment all 4 values are repeat (keep guessing L until we get it)



Given two positions (a,b) and (c,d), initialize i = a, j = b, k = c, l = d

Compute LCE of R\_i[j...] & R\_k[l...] and LCE of C\_j[i..]&C\_l[k..]

• increment all 4 values are repeat (keep guessing L until we get it)



Continue .... O(L) steps and O(L) time

# 2D LCE - $O(\log^2 n)$ time solution

# 2D LCE - $O(\log_{\sigma}^2 n)$ time solution

#### **Difference Cover (DC)**

There exists an  $S \subseteq \{1,2,3,...,n\}$  of size  $O(n/\sqrt{d})$  such that  $\forall (i,j), \exists h \in [0,d)$  such that  $i + h, j + h \in s$ 

Solution: Fix  $d = \log_{\sigma}^{2} n$ , Make a sparse (2D) suffix tree of sampled L-suffixes @DC across diagonals

Space =  $O((n/\sqrt{d})\log n) = O(n\log \sigma)$  bits

Given two positions (i,j) and (i',j') for LCE, we know that there exists an h < d, such that LCE of (i+h,j+h) & (i'+h,j'+h) are sampled positions are their LCE can be obtained in O(1) time

This means, we can run 1D-LCE queries previous algorithm just O(d) time and then jump to sparse ST

Time complexity:  $O(d) = O(\log_{\sigma}^2 n)$ 

# 2D LCE in ~ $O(\log_{\sigma} n)$ time solution

Key Ideas:

Instead of taking one row/column at a time, we make slabs of sizes 1,2,4,8,... log n

However, we cannot maintain the corresponding 1D texts explicitly. So, we maintain the implicitly, and the following 1D LCE structure explicitly (we adjust "t" to keep the space low): LCE can be answered in O(t) time using O(n/t) words [& text as some compressed structures]

The number of queries will be  $O(\log \log n)$ , but each query is now costly  $O(\log_{\sigma} n)$ 

# 2D LCE in ~ $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$ time solution

Combining both Ideas:

We will maintain O(1) time 1D LCE structures for all rows and columns

Then LCE structures for selected slabs (optimized to keep the space/time small)

The final answer is obtained 3 queries

- ~  $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$  number of O(1) 1D-LCE queries on rows/columns
- ~  $O(\log \log_{\sigma} n)$  number of 1D-LCE queries on slabs (each costing  $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$  time)
- $\sim$  a single 2D LCE query on the sparse suffix tree

# 2D LCE in ~ $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$ time solution

Combining both Ideas:

We will maintain O(1) time 1D LCE structures for all rows and columns

Then LCE structures for selected slabs (optimized to keep the space/time small)

The final answer is obtained 3 queries

- ~  $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$  number of O(1) 1D-LCE queries on rows/columns
- ~  $O(\log \log_{\sigma} n)$  number of 1D-LCE queries on slabs (each costing  $O(\log_{\sigma}^{2/3} n)$  time)
- $\sim$  a single 2D LCE query on the sparse suffix tree

### Summary!

**Theorem**:  $O(N \log \sigma)$ -bit structure for 2D LCE in ~  $O(\log^{2/3} n)$  time

### Summary!

**Theorem**:  $O(N \log \sigma)$ -bit structure for 2D LCE in ~  $O(\log^{2/3} n)$  time

Corollaries  $O(N \log \sigma + N \log \log N)$ bits index for

ISA queries via  $O(\log n)^*$  LCE queries Sub-linear time SA queries  $O(N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ Pattern Matching  $O(M + occ + N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ 

### Summary!

**Theorem**:  $O(N \log \sigma)$ -bit structure for 2D LCE in ~  $O(\log^{2/3} n)$  time

Corollaries  $O(N \log \sigma + N \log \log N)$ bits index for

ISA queries via O(log n)\* LCE queries Sub-linear time SA queries  $O(N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ Pattern Matching  $O(M + occ + N/poly(\sigma \log n))$ 

We also have a REPETITION AWARE structure for 2D LCE queries

Thanks for your Listening!!!